

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION
09/996,148	1	1/28/2001	David R. Greenberg	FIS920010262US1	3056
32074	7590	12/18/2003		EXAM	INER
<b>INTERNA</b>	TIONAL	BUSINESS MAC	DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L		
DEPT. 18G				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBE
BLDG. 300-				ARTONI	FAFER NUMBER
2070 ROUT	E 52			2836	
HOPEWEL	L JUNCTI	ON, NY 12533	DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			plication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09	/996,148	GREENBERG ET AL.	
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit	
			pert DeBeradinis	2836	
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this commi	unication appears	on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DAT  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specified by the period for reply is second for reply within the Any reply received by the	E OF THIS COMMU e available under the provisio om the mailing date of this co- tifled above is less than thirty pecified above, the maximum set or extended period for re	NICATION.  Ins of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  Timunication.  (30) days, a reply within statutory period will appoply will, by statute, cause	ly and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to	o communication(s) f	iled on <u>28 Noven</u>	nber 2001.		
2a) This action is	FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.		
			except for formal matt rte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the abo	ve claim(s) is	are withdrawn fro	om consideration.		
5) Claim(s)	_ is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 a</u>	and 8-14 is/are reject	ed.			
7) Claim(s) 7 an	d 15 is/are objected t	ю.			
	are subject to rest		ction requirement.		
Application Papers	,		·		
9) The specificati	on is objected to by t	the Examiner.			
	-		)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
			•	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
			•	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
				Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.		to by the Examin	or. Hoto mo attacino	1 0 1100 7 total of 10 111 1 1 0 - 132,	
12) Acknowledgm	ent is made of a clai		rity under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certifier 2. Certifier 3. Copies applicat * See the attache 13) Acknowledgme since a specific 37 CFR 1.78. a) The transi 14) Acknowledgme	of the certified copie tion from the Internated detailed Office act int is made of a claim reference was includation of the foreign lation and a claim	y documents have y documents have sof the priority do ional Bureau (PC ion for a list of the for domestic priority do in the first ser anguage provision for domestic priority domestic priority documents in the first ser anguage provision for domestic priority documents in the first ser anguage provision for domestic priority documents in the first ser anguage provision for domestic priority documents in the first ser anguage provision for domestic priority documents in the first series and the first series a	re been received in A couments have been T Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not prity under 35 U.S.C. attence of the specification has bearity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applica ation or in an Application Data Sh	
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References C	ited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	

Art Unit: 2836

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by FARRINGTON 6,642,467.

Regarding claim 1.

FARRINGTON teaches at least one contact electrode (figure 18, contact 31); and a defecting beam (34), said deflecting beam contacting said at least one contact electrode (31) by way of a compressible deformable means affixed to at least one end of said deflecting beam or to at least one of said contact electrodes (see figure 18).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2836

Claims 1-6, 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HUANG 6,384,353 in view of FARRINGDON 6,642,467 and MIKHEEVA SU 955255. Regarding claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14.

HUANG discloses a micro-electromechanical switch comprising:

At least one contact electrode (135); and

A deflecting beam, said deflecting beam contacting said at least one contact electrode (122).

HUANG does not teach said deflecting beam contacting said at least one contact electrode by way of a compressible deformable means affixed to at least one end of said deflecting beam or to at least one of said contact electrodes.

FARRINGTON teaches at least one contact electrode (figure 18, contact 31); and a defecting beam (34), said deflecting beam contacting said at least one contact electrode (31) by way of a compressible deformable means affixed to at least one end of said deflecting beam or to at least one of said contact electrodes (see figure 18) and MIKHEEVA teaches applying a greater force to free switch contacts that stick.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify HUANG to include a compressible deformable means affixed to at least one end of said deflecting beam or to at least one of said contact electrodes. The motivation would be to provide the additional force to separate the contacts to prevent the contacts from sticking.

Regarding claim 2.

Art Unit: 2836

FARRINTON teaches wherein said compressible deformable means is selected from the group consisting of a layer and discrete spring-like elements protruding from said at least one contact electrode (see figure 4b, column 5, lines 33-60).

Regarding claim 3.

HUANG in view of FARRINGDON and MIKHEEVA disclose the microelectromechanical switch as recited in claim 1.

HUANG teaches a control electrode (125) coplanar to said at least one contact electrode (122).

Regarding claims 4, 5,10.

HUANG in view of FARRINGDON and MIKHEEVA disclose the microelectromechanical switch as recited in claim 3 wherein the deflection of said deflecting beam is governed by applying a voltage between said deflecting beam and said control electrode.

Regarding claims 6, 8.

HUANG in view of FARRINGDON and MIKHEEVA disclose the microelectromechanical switch as recited in claim 1.

FARRINGTON teaches resilient biasing means may be of any suitable design and material or materials which will serve to separate the contact portions after the removal of force (column 7, lines 41-48).

The above references do not teach a non-linear increase to a separating force able to overcome stiction.

Art Unit: 2836

The Examiner takes official that a force required to compress a spring or compressible materials such as rubber exhibit a non-linear characteristic.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify HUANG to include a compressible material such as rubber, which is know to exhibit a non-linear compressible force characteristic, to provide a biasing means for the contacts. The motivation would be to apply an additional force to separate the contacts to overcome the stiction force of the contacts (MIKHEEVA teaches applying added force to free contacts).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L.

DeBeradinis whose number is (703) 306-5857. The Examiner can normally be reached

Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (703) 308-3119. The Fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

**RLD** 

DECEMBER 2, 2003

lef PD Denter